

A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF LF-SPACES

TARAS BANAKH AND DUŠAN REPOVŠ

ABSTRACT. We present a topological characterizations of LF-spaces and some other spaces of the form $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$. Those characterizations are applied to recognizing the topology of small box-product and uniform direct limits of Polish ANR-groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall present a simple criterion for recognizing topological spaces that are homeomorphic to LF-spaces. In [2] this criterion will be applied to recognizing the topology of some homeomorphism groups.

We recall that an *LF-space* is the direct limit $\text{lc-}\varinjlim X_n$ of an increasing sequence

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$$

of Fréchet (= locally convex complete linear metric) spaces in the category of locally convex spaces. The simplest example of a non-metrizable LF-space is the inductive limit $\mathbb{R}^\infty = \text{lc-}\varinjlim \mathbb{R}^n$ of the sequence

$$\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \dots$$

of Euclidean spaces, where each space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is identified with the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The space $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ can be identified with the direct sum $\oplus_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{R}$ of one-dimensional Fréchet spaces in the category of locally convex spaces.

P.Mankiewicz in [16] obtained a topological classification of LF-spaces and proved that each LF-space is homeomorphic to the direct sum $\oplus_{n \in \omega} l_2(\kappa_i)$ of Hilbert spaces for some sequence $(\kappa_i)_{i \in \omega}$ of cardinals. Here $l_2(\kappa)$ stands for the Hilbert space with orthonormal base of cardinality κ . A more precise version of the Mankiewicz's classification says that the spaces

- $l_2(\kappa)$ for some cardinal $\kappa \geq 0$;
- $\mathbb{R}^\infty$;
- $l_2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ for some $\kappa \geq \omega$, and
- $\oplus_{n \in \omega} l_2(\kappa_i)$ for a strictly increasing sequence of infinite cardinals $(\kappa_i)_{i \in \omega}$

are pairwise non-homeomorphic and represent all possible topological types of LF-spaces. In particular, each infinite-dimensional separable LF-space is homeomorphic to one of the following spaces: l_2 , $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ or $l_2 \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

The topological characterizations of the spaces l_2 and $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ were given by H.Toruńczyk [22] and K.Sakai [19], respectively. Those characterizations belong to the best achievements of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57N17; 54F65; 46A13; Secondary: 22A05; 54B10; 54B30; 54E15; 54H11.

Key words and phrases. LF-space, uniform space, topological direct limit, uniform direct limit, topological group, small box-product.

This research was supported by Slovenian Research Agency grant P1-0292-0101, J1-9643-0101 and BI-UA/07-08-001.

classical Infinite-Dimensional Topology. In this paper we shall present a topological characterization of other LF-spaces, in particular, $l_2 \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

First we recall the topological characterization of the spaces $l_2(\kappa)$ and $\mathbb{R}^\infty$, and manifolds modeled on such spaces.

By a *manifold modeled on a space E* (briefly, an *E -manifold*) we understand any paracompact space M that can be covered by open subsets homeomorphic to open subsets of the model space E . Manifolds modeled on Hilbert spaces are called *Hilbert manifolds* (this definition includes also the case of manifolds modeled on finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces).

Theorem 1.1 (Toruńczyk). *A topological space X is homeomorphic to (a manifold modeled on) an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $l_2(\kappa)$ if and only if X is a completely-metrizable absolute (neighborhood) retract of local density $\leq \kappa$ such that each map $f : C \rightarrow X$ from a completely-metrizable space C of density $\leq \kappa$ can be uniformly approximated by closed topological embeddings.*

Now we explain some concepts appearing in this theorem. We say that a space X has *local density $\leq \kappa$* if each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $O(x)$ whose density is $\leq \kappa$. A topological space is *completely-metrizable* if it is homeomorphic to a complete metric space. A *Polish* space is a separable completely-metrizable space.

An *absolute (neighborhood) retract* is a metrizable space X that is a (neighborhood) retract in each metrizable space which contains X as a closed subspace.

Finally, we shall say that a map¹ $f : C \rightarrow X$ can be *uniformly approximated by maps with certain property $\mathcal{P}$* if for each open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X there is a map $g : C \rightarrow X$ with the property $\mathcal{P}$ that is $\mathcal{U}$ -near to f in the sense that for each point $c \in C$ the set $\{f(c), g(c)\}$ lies in some set $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Next, we recall the characterization of the LF-space $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ due to K.Sakai [19]. This characterization is based on the observation that the LF-space $\mathbb{R}^\infty = \text{lc-}\varinjlim \mathbb{R}^n$ carries the topology of the topological direct limit of the tower $(\mathbb{R}^n)_{n \in \omega}$ of finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces.

By the *topological direct limit* $\text{t-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$$

of topological spaces we understand the union $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$ endowed with the largest topology turning the identity inclusions $X_n \rightarrow X$, $n \in \omega$, into continuous maps.

Theorem 1.2 (Sakai). *A topological space X is homeomorphic to the space $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ if and only if*

- (1) *X is homeomorphic to the topological direct limit $\text{t-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of finite-dimensional metrizable compacta;*
- (2) *each embedding $f : B \rightarrow X$ of a closed subset $B \subset C$ of a finite-dimensional metrizable compact space C extends to an embedding $\bar{f} : C \hookrightarrow X$.*

Replacing the class of finite-dimensional compact metrizable spaces in this theorem by the class of Polish spaces, E.Pentsak [18] obtained a topological characterization of the topological direct limit $\text{t-}\varinjlim (l_2)^n$ of the tower of Hilbert spaces

$$l_2 \subset l_2 \times l_2 \subset \dots \subset l_2^n \subset \dots$$

where each space l_2^n is identified with the subspace $l_2^n \times \{0\}$ of the Hilbert space l_2^{n+1} . However, the topology of the topological direct limit $\text{t-}\varinjlim l_2^n$ is strictly stronger than the topology of the

¹By a *map* we mean a continuous function. In contrast, a *function* need not be continuous.

direct limit $\text{lc-}\varinjlim l_2^n$ of that tower in the category of locally convex spaces. Moreover, $\text{t-}\varinjlim l_2^n$ is not even homeomorphic to a topological group, see [1]. In fact, an LF-space X is homeomorphic to the topological direct limit of a tower of metrizable spaces if and only if X is either metrizable or is topologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^\infty$, see [7].

This means that topological direct limits cannot be used for describing the topology of non-metrizable LF-spaces which are different from $\mathbb{R}^\infty$. On the other hand, it was discovered in [4] that for any tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of Fréchet spaces the topology of the LF-space $X = \text{lc-}\varinjlim X_n$ coincides with the topology of the direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of this tower in the category of uniform spaces!

By the *uniform direct limit* $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$$

of uniform spaces we understand the union $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$ endowed with the largest uniformity turning the identity inclusions $X_n \rightarrow X$ into uniformly continuous maps. Each topological group G (in particular, each locally convex space) is considered as a uniform space endowed with the left uniformity generated by the entourages $\{(x, y) \in G^2 : x \in yU\}$ where $U = U^{-1}$ runs over all symmetric neighborhoods of the neutral element in G .

For any tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of Fréchet spaces the identity map $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \text{lc-}\varinjlim X_n$ is continuous (because each continuous group homomorphism is uniformly continuous). A less trivial fact established in [4] is the continuity of the inverse map $\text{lc-}\varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$. This means that we can identify LF-spaces with uniform direct limits of Fréchet spaces and reduce the problem of topological characterization of LF-spaces to the problem of recognizing uniform direct limits that are homeomorphic to LF-spaces. The answer to this problem will be given in Theorem 1.3 which involves the following definitions.

The uniformity of a uniform space X will be denoted by $\mathcal{U}_X$. A uniform space is *metrizable* if its uniformity is generated by a metric. For a point $a \in X$, a subset $A \subset X$, and an entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $B(a, U) = \{x \in X : (x, a) \in U\}$ and $B(A, U) = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, U)$ be the U -balls around a and A , respectively.

Definition 1. A uniform space X is defined to be *uniformly locally equiconnected* if there is an entourage $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ and a continuous map $\lambda : U_0 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that

- $\lambda(x, y, 0) = x$ and $\lambda(x, y, 1) = y$ for all $x, y \in U_0$, and
- for every entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ there is an entourage $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$ such that for each pair $(x, y) \in V \cap U_0$ and any $t, \tau \in [0, 1]$ we get $(\lambda(x, y, \tau), \lambda(x, y, t)) \in U$;

If λ is defined on the entire product $X^2 \times [0, 1]$, then the uniform space X is called *uniformly equiconnected*.

Definition 2. A subset A of a uniform space X is defined to be a *uniform neighborhood retract* in X if there is an entourage $V_0 \in \mathcal{U}_X$ and a retraction $r : B(A, V_0) \rightarrow A$ such that for every entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ there is an entourage $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$ such that $(r(x), x) \in U$ for any point $x \in B(A, V \cap V_0)$.

Such a retraction r is called *regular*. If r can be defined on the whole space X , then A is called a *uniform retract* of X .

Definition 3. We say that a subset A of a uniform space X has a *uniform collar in X* if there is a continuous map $e : A \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that

- for any entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ there is a number $\delta > 0$ such that $e(a, t) \in B(a, U)$ for any $(a, t) \in A \times [0, \delta]$, and

- for every $\delta \in (0, 1]$ there is an entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ such that $e(a, t) \notin B(A, U)$ for any $(a, t) \in A \times [\delta, 1]$.

Now we are able to formulate our topological characterization of LF-spaces.

Theorem 1.3. *A topological space X is homeomorphic to a non-metrizable LF-space if and only if X is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of metrizable uniform spaces such that each space X_n*

- (1) *is uniformly locally equiconnected,*
- (2) *is a uniform neighborhood retract in X_{n+1} ,*
- (3) *has a uniform collar in X_{n+1} ,*
- (4) *is contractible in X_{n+1} , and*
- (5) *is a Hilbert manifold.*

A special case of this theorem is the following topological characterization of the LF-space $l_2 \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

Corollary 1.4. *A topological space X is homeomorphic to the LF-space $l_2 \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ if and only if X is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of metrizable uniform spaces such that each space X_n*

- (1) *is uniformly locally equiconnected,*
- (2) *is a uniform neighborhood retract in X_{n+1} ,*
- (3) *has a uniform collar in X_{n+1} ,*
- (4) *is contractible in X_{n+1} , and*
- (5) *is an l_2 -manifold.*

In fact, Corollary 1.4 is a special case of the topological characterization of spaces of the form $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$, where Ω is a model space, defined as follows.

Definition 1.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of topological spaces and X_0 be a closed subset of a topological space X . A pair (X, X_0) is called *strongly $\mathcal{C}$ -universal* if for each open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $X \setminus X_0$ and each continuous map $f : C \rightarrow X$ from a space $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the map f restricted to the subset $V = f^{-1}(X \setminus X_0) \subset C$ can be approximated by a closed topological embedding $g : V \rightarrow X \setminus X_0$ that is $\mathcal{U}$ -near to $f|_V$.

Observe that a pair (X, X_0) is strongly $\mathcal{C}$ -universal if each map $f : U \rightarrow X \setminus X_0$ defined on an open subspace $U \subset C$ of a space $C \in \mathcal{C}$, can be uniformly approximated by closed embeddings. This observation implies that for each closed subset X_0 of an $l_2(\kappa)$ -manifold X the pair (X, X_0) is strongly $\mathcal{C}_{\leq \kappa}$ -universal for the class $\mathcal{C}_{\leq \kappa}$ of completely-metrizable spaces of density $\leq \kappa$.

For a topological space X let $\mathcal{F}_0(X)$ denote the class of topological spaces, homeomorphic to closed subsets of X . Also let $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ be the closed unit interval. Each n -cube $\mathbb{I}^n$ will be identified with the face $\mathbb{I}^n \times \{0\}$ of the cube $\mathbb{I}^{n+1}$.

Definition 1.6. A metrizable topological space Ω is called a *model space* if Ω is an absolute retract, $\Omega \times \mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$, and for every $n \in \omega$ the pair $(\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^{n+1}, \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ is strongly $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ -universal.

Toruńczyk's characterization Theorem 1.1 implies that each infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is a model space. Many other examples of (incomplete) model spaces can be found in [9] and [3].

For a model space Ω , the topology of the product $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ can be characterized as follows:

Theorem 1.7. *A topological space X is homeomorphic to the space $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ for a model space Ω if and only if X is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of metrizable uniform spaces such that for every $n \in \omega$*

- (1) X_n is uniformly locally equiconnected,
- (2) X_n is a uniform neighborhood retract in X_{n+1} ,
- (3) X_n has a uniform collar in X_{n+1} ,
- (4) X_n is contractible in X_{n+1} ,
- (5) X_n is an ANR, and
- (6) the pair (X_{n+1}, X_n) is strongly $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ -universal.

This theorem immediately implies:

Corollary 1.8. *If Ω and Ω' are two model spaces with $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega) = \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega')$, then the products $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ and $\Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ are homeomorphic.*

By a bit more elaborated technique we shall show in [6] that after deleting the condition (4), Theorems 1.3 and 1.7 turn into characterizations of topological spaces homeomorphic to open subspaces in LF-spaces or spaces $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

In Sections 10 and 8 these characterization results will be applied to detecting topological groups and small box products homeomorphic to LF-spaces or spaces of the form $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ for a model space Ω .

2. DESCRIBING THE TOPOLOGY OF UNIFORM DIRECT LIMITS

We start by recalling some notions related to uniform spaces. For more detail information, see Chapter 8 of the Engelking's book [13].

As we have already said, the uniformity of a uniform space X is denoted by $\mathcal{U}_X$. Elements of $\mathcal{U}_X$ are called *entourages* (of the diagonal $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\} \subset X \times X$). For a point $x \in X$, a subset $A \subset X$, and a subset $U \subset X^2$ let

$$B(a, U) = \{x \in X : (x, a) \in U\} \quad \text{and} \quad B(A, U) = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, U).$$

Any subset $O(A) \subset X$ that contains a set of the form $B(A, U)$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ will be called a *uniform neighborhood* of A in the uniform space X .

Given two subsets $U, V \subset X^2$ (thought of as relation), consider their composition

$$U + V = \{(x, z) \in X^2 : \exists y \in X \text{ with } (x, y) \in U \text{ and } (y, z) \in V\} \subset X^2.$$

This operation can be extended to sequences of subsets $(U_i)_{i \in \omega}$ of X^2 by the formulas:

$$\sum_{i \leq n} U_i = U_0 + \cdots + U_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in \omega} U_i = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sum_{i \leq n} U_i.$$

An increasing sequence of spaces

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \cdots \subset X_n \subset \cdots$$

is called a *tower*. Such a tower is *closed* if each space X_n is a closed subspace of X_{n+1} .

For a point $x \in X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$ let

$$|x| = \min\{n \in \omega : x \in X_n\}$$

denote the *height* of x in X .

By the *uniform direct limit* $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower of uniform space $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ we understand their union $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$ endowed with the largest uniformity turning the identity inclusions $X_n \rightarrow X$ into uniformly continuous maps.

The topology of the space $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ has been described in [4] as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *For a tower of uniform spaces $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ the family*

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ B(x, \sum_{i \geq |x|} U_i) : (U_i)_{i \geq |x|} \in \prod_{i \geq |x|} \mathcal{U}_{X_i} \right\}$$

is a base of the topology of the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$.

This description implies the following two results established in [4].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a tower of uniform spaces. If each space X_n is locally compact, then the identity map $\text{t-}\varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proposition 2.3. *For two towers of uniform spaces (X_n) and (Y_n) , the identity map $\text{u-}\varinjlim (X_n \times Y_n) \rightarrow \text{u-}\varinjlim X_n \times \text{u-}\varinjlim Y_n$ is a homeomorphism. In particular, for any uniform space Ω , the spaces $\Omega \times \text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ and $\text{u-}\varinjlim (\Omega \times X_n)$ are naturally homeomorphic.*

This multiplicativity property distinguishes uniform direct limits from the topological direct limits: as we already know the product $l_2 \times (\text{t-}\varinjlim \mathbb{R}^n)$ is not homeomorphic to $\text{t-}\varinjlim (l_2 \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Following [4], we define a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two uniform spaces to be *regular at a subset* $A \subset X$ if for any entourages $U \in \mathcal{U}_Y$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$ there is an entourage $W \in \mathcal{U}_X$ such that for each point $x \in B(A, W)$ there is a point $a \in A$ with $(x, a) \in V$ and $(f(x), f(a)) \in U$.

The description of the topology of the uniform direct limits given in Theorem 2.1 implies the following Continuity Theorem proved in [4].

Theorem 2.4. *A function $f : \text{u-}\varinjlim X_n \rightarrow Y$ from the uniform direct limit of a tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of uniform spaces and with values in a uniform space Y is continuous if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the restriction $f|_{X_n}$ is continuous and regular at the subset X_{n-1} .*

3. THE CATEGORY OF RETRAL SPACES

Theorems 1.3 and 1.7 will be proved by the standard back-and-forth argument in the category of retral spaces.

A *retral space* is a pair $\langle X, r \rangle$ consisting of a uniform space $(X, \mathcal{U}_X)$ and a (not necessarily continuous) function $r : X \rightarrow X$ such that for every entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ there is an entourage $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$ such that for each $x \in B(rX, V)$ we get $(r(x), x) \in U$. The function r is called the *retral function*; its image $r(X) \subset X$ will be denoted by rX .

The Hausdorff property of the uniform space X implies that $r(x) = x$ for all $x \in rX$. The definition implies the continuity of r at each point of the set rX ; consequently, rX is a closed subset of X .

Each uniform space X will be identified with the retral space $\langle X, \text{id} \rangle$ where $\text{id} : X \rightarrow X$ is the identity map of X .

By a *retral subspace* of a retral space $\langle X, r \rangle$ we understand any pair $\langle A, r|_A \rangle$ where A is a subspace of the uniform space X such that $r(A) \subset A$.

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from a retral space $\langle X, r \rangle$ to a uniform space Y is called *r -regular* if for each entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}_Y$ there is an entourage $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$ such that $(f(x), f \circ r(x)) \in U$ for each $x \in B(rX, V)$.

By a *retral map* between retral spaces $\langle X, r \rangle$ and $\langle Y, \rho \rangle$ we understand any continuous r -regular map $f : X \rightarrow Y$. A *retral embedding* of $\langle X, r \rangle$ into $\langle Y, \rho \rangle$ is a topological embedding $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\rho(f(X)) \subset f(X)$ and both maps $f : \langle X, r \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y, \rho \rangle$ and $f^{-1} : \langle f(X), \rho|f(X) \rangle \rightarrow \langle X, r \rangle$ are retral. If, in addition, $f(X) = Y$, then f is called a *retral homeomorphism*.

By a *retral tower* we understand a sequence $\mathcal{X} = (\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ of retral spaces such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the uniform space X_{n-1} coincides with the subspace $r_n X_n$ of the uniform space X_n . For any numbers $k < n$ let $r_k^n : X_n \rightarrow X_k$ be the composition of the retral functions $r_{k+1} \circ \dots \circ r_n$. The functions r_k^n are called *structure retral functions* of the retral tower $\mathcal{X}$.

4. EXTENDABLY $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -UNIVERSAL TOWERS AND UNIQUENESS THEOREM

In this section we introduce the notion of an extendably $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -universal tower and prove the Uniqueness Theorem 4.2 for uniform direct limits of such towers.

Let $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ be a class of retral spaces. A retral tower $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ is called a $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -tower if $\langle X_n, r_n \rangle \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Definition 4.1. A retral tower $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ is defined to be *extendably $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -universal* if for any retral space $\langle C, r \rangle \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}$ any retral homeomorphism $f : \langle A, r|A \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_k, \text{id} \rangle$ defined on a closed subset $A \subset C$ with $rC \subset A$ extends to a closed retral embedding $\bar{f} : \langle C, r \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_n, r_k^n \rangle$ for some $n > k$.

Theorem 4.2. The uniform direct limits $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ and $\text{u-}\varinjlim Y_n$ of any two extendably $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -universal $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -towers $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ and $(\langle Y_n, \rho_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ are homeomorphic.

Proof. A homeomorphism $f : \text{u-}\varinjlim X_n \rightarrow \text{u-}\varinjlim Y_n$ will be constructed by a standard back-and-forth argument.

We shall construct by induction increasing number sequences $(n_k)_{k \in \omega}$ and $(m_k)_{k \in \omega}$ and sequences of closed retral embeddings $f_k : \langle X_{n_k}, r_{n_k}^{n_k} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y_{m_k}, \rho_{m_k}^{m_k} \rangle$ and $g_k : \langle Y_{m_k}, \rho_{m_k}^{m_k} \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_{n_{k+1}}, r_{n_{k+1}}^{n_{k+1}} \rangle$, $k \in \omega$, such that

- $f_{k+1}|X_{n_k} = f_k$ and $g_{k+1}|Y_{m_k} = g_k$,
- $g_k|f_k(X_{n_k}) = f_k^{-1}$ and $f_{k+1}|g_k(Y_{m_k}) = g_k^{-1}$,

for every $k \in \omega$.

Let $X_{-1} = Y_{-1} = \emptyset$, $n_{-1} = m_{-1} = -1$, and $f_{-1} : X_{-1} \rightarrow Y_{-1}$ be the identity map on the empty space $X_{-1} = Y_{-1} = \emptyset$.

Assume that for some $k \in \omega$, the numbers n_i , m_i and closed retral embeddings

$$f_i : \langle X_{n_i}, r_{n_i}^{n_i} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y_{m_i}, \rho_{m_i}^{m_i} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad g_{i-1} : \langle Y_{m_{i-1}}, \rho_{m_{i-1}}^{m_{i-1}} \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_{n_i}, r_{n_i}^{n_i} \rangle$$

have been constructed for all $i \leq k$.

Consider the retral space $\langle C, r \rangle = \langle Y_{m_k}, \rho_{m_k}^{m_k} \rangle$ and its closed subspace $\langle A, r|A \rangle$ where

$$A = f_k(X_{n_k}) \supset f_k \circ g_{k-1}(Y_{m_{k-1}}) = Y_{m_{k-1}} = \rho_{m_{k-1}}^{m_{k-1}}(Y_{m_k}).$$

Since $f_k : \langle X_{n_k}, r_{n_k}^{n_k} \rangle \rightarrow \langle A, r|A \rangle$ is a retral homeomorphism, the inverse map $f_k^{-1} : \langle A, r|A \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_{n_k}, \text{id} \rangle$ is a retral homeomorphism, too. The extendable $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -universality of the tower $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ guarantees that the retral homeomorphism f_k^{-1} extends to a closed retral embedding $g_k : \langle C, r \rangle = \langle Y_{m_k}, \rho_{m_k}^{m_k} \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_{n_{k+1}}, r_{n_{k+1}}^{n_{k+1}} \rangle$ for some number $n_{k+1} > n_k$.

By the same argument, the extendable $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -universality of the tower $(\langle Y_m, \rho_m \rangle)_{m \in \omega}$ implies that the retral homeomorphism $g_k^{-1} : \langle g_k(Y_{m_k}), r_{n_k}^{n_{k+1}}|g_k(Y_{m_k}) \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y_{m_k}, \text{id} \rangle$ extends to a closed retral

embedding $f_{k+1} : \langle X_{n_{k+1}}, r_{n_k}^{n_{k+1}} \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y_{m_{k+1}}, \rho_{m_k}^{m_{k+1}} \rangle$ for some $m_{k+1} > m_k$. This completes the inductive step.

After completing the inductive construction, consider the maps $f : \varinjlim X_{n_k} \rightarrow \varinjlim Y_{m_k}$ and $g : \varinjlim Y_{m_k} \rightarrow \varinjlim X_{n_k}$ defined by $f|X_{n_k} = f_k$ and $g|Y_{m_k} = g_k$ for all $k \in \omega$. The Continuity Theorem 2.4 guarantees that the maps f and g are continuous. Since both compositions $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are identity maps, we conclude that the uniform direct limits $\varinjlim X_n = \varinjlim X_{n_k}$ and $\varinjlim Y_m = \varinjlim Y_{m_k}$ are homeomorphic. $\square$

5. RECOGNIZING EXTENDABLY $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -UNIVERSAL $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -TOWERS

In this section we give a criterion for recognizing extendably $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -universal $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ -towers for some special classes $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ of retral spaces.

Given a class $\mathcal{C}$ of topological spaces, consider the class $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ of all retral spaces $\langle C, r \rangle$ such that

- C is homeomorphic to a space from the class $\mathcal{C}$,
- the uniformity of C is generated by a metric, and
- for some entourage $U \in \mathcal{U}_C$ the restriction $r|B(rC, U)$ is continuous.

Retral spaces from the class $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ are called $\mathcal{C}$ -retral spaces.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of topological spaces. A retral tower $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ is extendably $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -universal provided that*

- (1) *each uniform space X_n , $n \in \omega$, is metrizable, uniformly locally equiconnected, and has a uniform collar in X_{n+1} ,*
- (2) *each space X_n is an ANR and is contractible in X_{n+1} , and*
- (3) *for any space $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and a number $k \in \omega$ there is a number $n \geq k+2$ such that the pair (X_n, X_k) is strongly $\{C\}$ -universal.*

Proof. In order to check the extendable $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -universality of the tower $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$, fix a retral space $\langle C, r \rangle \in \downarrow\mathcal{C}$, a closed subspace $A \subset C$ with $rC \subset A$, and a retral homeomorphism $f : \langle A, r|A \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_k, \text{id} \rangle$ for some $k \in \omega$.

It follows from our assumption that the uniformities of the spaces C and X_{k+2} are generated by metrics d_C and d_X , respectively. Replacing d_C by $\min\{1, d_C\}$, if necessary, we can assume that $d_C \leq 1$.

The r -regularity of the retral map f implies the existence of a continuous function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(0) = 0$ and $d_X(f(a), f \circ r(a)) \leq \varphi(d_C(a, rC))$ for all $a \in A$.

The uniform space X_k is uniformly locally equiconnected and hence admits a (not necessarily continuous) function $\lambda : X_k \times X_k \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_k$ such that

- $\lambda(x, y, 0) = x$, $\lambda(x, y, 1) = y$ for all $x, y \in X_k$,
- for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $d_X(x, \lambda(x, y, t)) < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in X_k$ with $d_X(x, y) < \delta$, and
- λ is continuous on the set $\{(x, y, t) \in X_k \times X_k \times [0, 1] : d_X(x, y) < \varepsilon_0\}$ for some positive $\varepsilon_0 > 0$.

Since $\langle C, r \rangle \in \downarrow\mathcal{C}$, the function r is continuous on the closure $\overline{U}$ of some uniform neighborhood $U \subset C$ of rC . We can assume that U is so small that $\varphi(d_C(x, rC)) \leq \varepsilon_0/3$ for all $x \in \overline{U}$.

Since X_k is an ANR, the map $f : A \rightarrow X_k$ admits a continuous extension $f_1 : O(A) \rightarrow X_k$ to an open neighborhood $O(A)$ of A in C . The continuity of the retraction $r|_{\overline{U}}$ implies that the

set

$$V = \{x \in \overline{U} \cap O(A) : d_X(f_1(x), f \circ r(x)) < 2\varphi(d_C(x, rC))\}$$

is an open neighborhood of the set $A \cap \overline{U} \setminus rC$ in $\overline{U} \setminus rC$.

Since $\overline{U} \setminus (V \cup rC)$ and $A \setminus rC$ are disjoint closed subsets of the metrizable space $\overline{U} \cup A \setminus rC$, there is a continuous function $\xi : \overline{U} \cup A \setminus rC \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\xi(A \setminus rC) \subset \{0\}$ and $\xi(\overline{U} \setminus (V \cup rC)) \subset \{1\}$.

Extend ξ to a (not necessarily continuous) function $\bar{\xi} : \overline{U} \cup A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and define a function $\tilde{f} : \overline{U} \cup A \rightarrow X_k$ by the formula

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \lambda(f_1(x), f \circ r(x), \bar{\xi}(x)).$$

One can readily check that this map is continuous and r -regular.

Since the ANR-space X_k is contractible in X_{k+1} , the function $\tilde{f}$ admits a continuous extension $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow X_{k+1}$.

Let $\gamma : X_{k+1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_{k+2}$ be a uniform collar of X_{k+1} in the uniform space X_{k+2} . By definition, the map γ has the following two properties:

- for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\gamma(x, t) \in B(x, \varepsilon)$ for all $(x, t) \in X_{k+1} \times [0, \delta]$;
- for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\gamma(x, t) \notin B(X_{k+1}, \delta)$ for any $(x, t) \in X_k \times [\varepsilon, 1]$.

Consider the continuous function

$$g : C \rightarrow X_{k+2}, \quad g : c \mapsto \gamma(\bar{f}(c), d_C(c, A)).$$

Find $n \geq k + 2$ such that the pair (X_n, X_k) is strongly $\{C\}$ -universal. Fix any metric d_n generating the uniformity of X_n and approximate the map $g : C \setminus A \rightarrow X_n \setminus X_k$ by a closed embedding $\tilde{g} : C \setminus A \rightarrow X_n \setminus X_k$ such that

$$\text{dist}(\tilde{g}(c), g(c)) < \text{dist}(g(c), X_k)/2 \text{ for all } c \in C \setminus A.$$

The interested reader can check that the map $\bar{g} : C \rightarrow X_n$ defined by $\bar{g}|_A = f$ and $\bar{g}|_{C \setminus A} = \tilde{g}$ is a closed embedding determining a closed retral embedding $\bar{g} : \langle C, r \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_n, r_k^n \rangle$. $\square$

6. A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF LF-SPACES

In this section we shall prove a topological characterization of LF-spaces that implies Theorem 1.3 announced in the Introduction.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{<\omega}$ denote the class of finite-dimensional metrizable compact spaces and for an uncountable cardinal κ let $\mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ be the class of completely-metrizable spaces of density $< \kappa$.

Theorem 6.1. *For a topological space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *X is homeomorphic to a non-metrizable LF-space.*
- (2) *X is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of an extendably $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -universal $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -tower $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ for some infinite cardinal κ .*
- (3) *X is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of metrizable uniform spaces such that each space X_n :*
 - (a) *is uniformly locally equiconnected;*
 - (b) *is a uniform neighborhood retract in X_{n+1} ;*
 - (c) *has a uniform collar in X_{n+1} ;*
 - (d) *is contractible in X_{n+1} ;*
 - (e) *is a Hilbert manifold.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Assume that X is homeomorphic to a non-metrizable LF-space. Then X can be identified with the direct limit $\text{lc-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower of Fréchet spaces $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$. Since X is non-metrizable, $X_n \neq X_{n+1}$ for infinitely many numbers. Passing to a suitable subsequence, we can assume that $X_n \neq X_{n+1}$ for all n .

Next, we shall show that each space X_n satisfies the conditions (a)–(e) from the assertion (3). Fix any $n \in \omega$.

(a) The natural convex structure of the Fréchet space X_n turns it into a uniformly equiconnected space.

(b) It was remarked in [17] that (the proof of) the Dugundji Extension Theorem [12] implies that each convex subset C of a locally convex metric space is a uniform retract in each metric space that contains C as a closed subspace. In particular, there is a regular retraction $r_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$.

(c) To show that X_n has a uniform collar in X_{n+1} , fix any point $a \in X_{n+1} \setminus X_n$ and observe that the embedding

$$e : X_n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_{n+1}, \quad e : (x, t) \mapsto x + ta$$

determines a uniform collar of X_n in X_{n+1} .

(d) The contractibility of each X_n (in X_{n+1}) is obvious.

(e) By the Anderson-Kadec-Toruńczyk Theorem [22], the Fréchet space X_n is homeomorphic to a Hilbert space.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that X is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower of uniform spaces $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ satisfying the conditions (a)–(e). For every $n \in \omega$ fix a function $r_{n+1} : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ whose restriction to some uniform neighborhood of X_n witnesses that X_n is a uniform neighborhood retract in X_{n+1} . Also let $r_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be the identity map.

By (e), each space X_n is an $l_2(\kappa_i)$ -manifold for some cardinal κ_i . Let $\kappa = \sup_{i \in \omega} \kappa_i^+$. We claim that the cardinal κ is infinite. In the opposite case, we would obtain for some $i \in \omega$ that $\kappa_i = \kappa_{i+1}$ is finite and then $X_i \subset X_{i+1}$ are two manifolds of the same finite dimension. By the Open Domain Principle [13, 1.8.11], X_i is an open subset in X_{i+1} , which is not possible as X_i has a uniform collar in X_{i+1} .

Now consider the class $\mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$. For uncountable κ this class consists of completely-metrizable spaces of weight $< \kappa$ and for $\kappa = \omega$ the class $\mathcal{C}_{<\omega}$ consists of finite-dimensional metrizable compacta.

First consider the case of uncountable cardinal $\kappa = \sup_{i \in \omega} \kappa_i$. We claim that $\mathcal{X} = (\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ is an extendably $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -universal retral $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -tower.

For every $n \in \omega$ the space X_n lies in a connected subspace of the $l_2(\kappa_{n+1})$ -manifold X_{n+1} and hence X_n has density $\text{dens}(X_n) \leq \kappa_{n+1} < \kappa$. Being a Hilbert manifold, the space X_n is completely-metrizable. Thus all retral spaces $\langle X_n, r_n \rangle$ belong to the class $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ and hence the retral tower $\mathcal{X}$ is a $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -tower.

Now we are going to show that for every space $C \in \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ and every $n \in \omega$ there is $m \geq n + 2$ such that the pair (X_m, X_n) is strongly $\{C\}$ -universal. Since $\text{dens}(C) < \kappa = \sup_{i \in \omega} \kappa_i$, there is $m \geq n + 2$ such that $\kappa_m \geq \text{dens}(C)$. We claim that the pair (X_m, X_n) is strongly $\{C\}$ -universal.

Given a map $f : C \rightarrow X_m$, and an open cover $\mathcal{V}$ of the set $X_n \setminus X_k$, we need to approximate the restriction $f|_{C_V}$ of f onto the open subset $C_V = f^{-1}(X_m \setminus X_n)$ by a closed embedding $g : C_V \rightarrow X_n \setminus X_k$ that is $\mathcal{V}$ -near to $f|_{C_V}$. The existence of such a closed embedding immediately follows from the Toruńczyk's Characterization Theorem 1.1 because $X_n \setminus X_k$ is an $l_2(\kappa_n)$ -manifold and $C_V \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq \kappa_n}$.

Applying Lemma 5.1, we see that the retral $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -tower $\mathcal{X}$ is extendably $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -universal.

Next, assume $\kappa = \omega$. In this case, each space X_n is a finite-dimensional manifold. Being contractible in X_{n+1} , the space X_n is separable and thus is a topological direct limit of a tower of finite-dimensional compact spaces. Since each space X_n is locally compact, the identity map $\text{t-lim}_{\rightarrow} X_n \rightarrow \text{u-lim}_{\rightarrow} X_n$ is a homeomorphism by Proposition 2.2. Now we see that $X = \text{t-lim}_{\rightarrow} X_n = \text{u-lim}_{\rightarrow} X_n$ is homeomorphic to the topological direct limit of a tower of finite-dimensional metrizable compacta.

In order to apply Sakai's characterization Theorem 1.2, we need to show that each embedding $f : B \rightarrow X$ of a closed subset B of a finite-dimensional metrizable compact space C extends to an embedding $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow X$. Being a compact subset of the topological direct limit $\text{t-lim}_{\rightarrow} X_n$, the subset $f(B)$ lies in some space X_n . Since $\sup_{i \in \omega} \kappa_i^+ = \kappa = \omega$, we can take n so large that $\kappa_n \geq 2 \dim(C) + 1$.

Since the space X_n is contractible in the ANR-space X_{n+1} , the map $f : B \rightarrow X_n \subset X_{n+1}$ is null-homotopic and hence admits a continuous extension $f_1 : C \rightarrow X_{n+1}$.

Let $\xi : C \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous map such that $\xi^{-1}(0) = B$. Since X_{n+1} has a uniform collar in X_{n+2} , there is a continuous map $\gamma : X_{n+1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_{n+2}$ such that $\gamma(x, 0) = x$ and $\gamma(x, t) \notin X_{n+1}$ for all $x \in X_{n+1}$ and $t \in (0, 1]$. Observe that the map

$$f_2 : C \rightarrow X_{n+2}, \quad f_2 : c \mapsto \gamma(f_1(c), \xi(c)),$$

extends $f|B$ and has the property $f(B) \cap f(C \setminus B) \subset X_n \cap (X \setminus X_{n+1}) = \emptyset$.

By our assumption, the uniformity of the space X_{n+2} is generated by some metric d . Since the dimension of the manifold X_{n+2} equals $\kappa_{n+2} \geq 2 \dim(C) + 1$, the map $f_2| : C \setminus B \rightarrow X_{n+2}$ can be approximated by an injective map $\tilde{f}_2 : C \setminus B \rightarrow X_{n+2}$ such that $d(\tilde{f}_2(c), f_2(c)) < \text{dist}(f_2(c), f(B))$ for all $c \in C \setminus B$ (this follows from a local version of the classical Menger-Pontryagin-Nöbeling-Tolstova Embedding Theorem [13, 1.11.4]). Then the map $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow X_{n+2}$ defined by $\bar{f}|B = f|B$ and $\bar{f}|C \setminus B = \tilde{f}_2$ is continuous and injective. Since C is compact, $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow X_{n+2} \subset X$ is the desired embedding that extends the embedding f . Now it is legal to apply Theorem 1.2 and conclude that the space $X = \text{t-lim}_{\rightarrow} X_n$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^\infty$.

Theorem 1.2 also implies that $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ is homeomorphic to the topological direct limit $\text{t-lim}_{\rightarrow} \mathbb{I}^n$ of the tower $(\mathbb{I}^n)_{n \in \omega}$. By Proposition 2.2, $\text{t-lim}_{\rightarrow} \mathbb{I}^n$ is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit of that tower. Endowing each cube $\mathbb{I}^n$, $n > 1$, with the uniform retraction

$$r_n : (t_1, \dots, t_m) \rightarrow (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}),$$

we turn the tower $(\mathbb{I}^n)_{n \in \omega}$ into an extendably $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -universal retral $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -tower $(\langle \mathbb{I}^n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ (here we put $r_0 : \mathbb{I}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^0$ be the identity map). Thus $X = \text{u-lim}_{\rightarrow} X_n$ is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-lim}_{\rightarrow} \mathbb{I}^n$ of the extendably $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -universal $\downarrow \mathcal{C}_{<\kappa}$ -tower $(\langle \mathbb{I}^n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$. This completes the proof of (2).

The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from the Uniqueness Theorem 4.2 and the implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). $\square$

7. A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SPACES $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$

In this section we present a topological characterizations of spaces of the form $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ where Ω is a model space. This characterization implies Theorem 1.7 announced in the Introduction.

Theorem 7.1. *Let Ω be a model space and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$. For a topological space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) X is homeomorphic to $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$;

- (2) X is homeomorphic to the uniform direct $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of an extendably $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -universal $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -tower $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$;
- (3) X is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ of a tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of metrizable uniform spaces such that for each space X_n :
- (a) is uniformly locally equiconnected,
 - (b) is a uniform neighborhood retract in X_{n+1} ,
 - (c) has a uniform collar in X_{n+1} ,
 - (d) is an ANR, contractible in X_{n+1} ,
 - (e) belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}$, and
 - (f) together with X_{n+1} forms a strongly $\mathcal{C}$ -universal pair (X_{n+1}, X_n) .

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3, 2). Assume that X is homeomorphic to $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$. By Theorem 2.3 of [21], the AR-space Ω , is homeomorphic to a uniform retract of a normed space, and hence has an admissible metric turning it into a uniformly equiconnected uniform space.

It follows from Theorem 1.2 that $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ is homeomorphic to the topological direct limit $\text{t-}\varinjlim \mathbb{I}^n$ of the tower

$$[0, 1] = \mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{I}^2 \subset \mathbb{I}^3 \subset \dots$$

where each cube $\mathbb{I}^n$ is identified with the face $\mathbb{I}^n \times \{0\}$ of the cube $\mathbb{I}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Since each cube $\mathbb{I}^n$ is compact, the topological direct limit $\text{t-}\varinjlim \mathbb{I}^n$ is naturally homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim \mathbb{I}^n$, see Proposition 2.2. Consequently, the space $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ is homeomorphic to the space $\Omega \times \text{u-}\varinjlim \mathbb{I}^n$. By Proposition 2.3, the latter product is naturally homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim (\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ of the tower $(\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n)_{n \in \omega}$.

It is clear that for every n the projection

$$r_{n+1} : \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^{n+1} \rightarrow \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n, \quad r_n : (z, t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}) \mapsto (z, t_1, \dots, t_n),$$

is a uniformly continuous retraction witnessing that $\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n$ is a uniform retract in $\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^{n+1}$. For $n = 0$ let $r_0 : \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^0 \rightarrow \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^0$ be the identity map. In such a way, we obtain a retral $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -tower $(\langle \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$.

It is clear that each uniform space $\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n$:

- (a) is uniformly equiconnected;
- (b) is a uniform retract in $\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^{n+1}$;
- (c) has a uniform collar in $\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^{n+1}$;
- (d) is an AR;
- (e) belongs to the class $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ (because $\Omega \times \mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$);
- (f) includes in the strongly $\mathcal{C}$ -universal pair $(\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^{n+1}, \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n)$.

The latter two conditions follows from the definition of a model space Ω .

Therefore, the space $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ satisfies the condition (3). Moreover, Lemma 5.1 ensures that the retral $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -tower $(\langle \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ is extendably $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -universal and hence X satisfies also the condition (2).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume that $X = \text{u-}\varinjlim X_n$ is the uniform direct limit of a tower $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of uniform spaces that satisfy the conditions (a)–(f). By (b) for every $n > 0$ there is a (not necessarily continuous) function $r_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ whose restriction on some uniform neighborhood of X_{n-1} is continuous and witnesses that X_{n-1} is a uniform neighborhood retract in X_n . Also let $r_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ be the identity map. By Lemma 5.1, the retral $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -tower $(\langle X_n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$ is extendably $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -universal.

The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from the Uniqueness Theorem 4.2 and the fact that $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ is homeomorphic to the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim (\Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ of the extendably $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -universal $\downarrow\mathcal{C}$ -tower $(\langle \Omega \times \mathbb{I}^n, r_n \rangle)_{n \in \omega}$. $\square$

8. SMALL BOX PRODUCTS HOMEOMORPHIC TO LF-SPACES

In this section we shall detect small box-products, homeomorphic to spaces of the form $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

By the *small box-product* of pointed topological spaces $(X_n, *_n)$, $n \in \omega$, we understand the subspace

$$\square_{i \in \omega} X_i = \{(x_i)_{i \in \omega} \in \prod_{i \in \omega} X_i : \exists n \forall m \geq n (x_i = *_i)\}$$

of the box-product $\prod_{i \in \omega} X_i$. The latter space in the Cartesian product $\prod_{i \in \omega} X_i$ endowed with the box-topology generated by the boxes $\prod_{i \in \omega} U_i$ where $U_i \subset X_i$, $i \in \omega$, are open sets.

The small box-product $\square_{i \in \omega} X_i$ can be written as the countable union of the tower

$$X_0 \subset X_0 \times X_1 \subset \cdots \subset \prod_{i \leq n} X_i \subset \cdots$$

where each finite product $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i$ is identified with the subspace

$$\{(x_i) \in \square_{i \in \omega} X_i : \forall i > n (x_i = *_i)\} \subset \square_{i \in \omega} X_i.$$

Theorem 8.1. *The small box-product $\square_{i \in \omega} X_i$ of pointed spaces is homeomorphic to $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ for some model space Ω , provided that*

- (1) *each space X_i is an absolute retract that contains more than one point,*
- (2) *each finite product $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$, and*
- (3) *each pair $(\prod_{i \leq n} X_i, \prod_{i < n} X_i)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is strongly $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ -universal.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 of [21], each AR-space X_i carries a metric that turns it into a uniformly equiconnected uniform space. Those metrics turn the tower $(\prod_{i \leq n} X_i)_{n \in \omega}$ into a tower of uniform spaces.

The union of this tower is equal to the small box-product $\square_{i \in \omega} X_i$. By Proposition 5.5 from [4], the identity map $\text{id} : \text{u-}\varinjlim \prod_{i \leq n} X_i \rightarrow \square_{i \in \omega} X_i$ is a homeomorphism.

So the proof of the theorem will be complete as soon as we prove that the uniform direct limit of the tower $(\prod_{i \leq n} X_i)_{n \in \omega}$ is homeomorphic to $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$. For this it suffices to check the condition (a)–(f) of Theorem 7.1 for each space $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i$ of the tower.

The condition (a) follows from the fact that the uniform spaces X_i , $i \leq n$, are uniformly equiconnected, and so is their product.

The condition (b) follows from the fact that the coordinate projection

$$r_n : \prod_{i \leq n+1} X_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \leq n} X_i$$

is a uniform retraction.

To see (c), find an injective map $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ such that $\gamma(0) = *_{n+1}$. Such a map exists because X_{n+1} is an AR containing more than one point. Then the map $e : \prod_{i \leq n} X_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \prod_{i \leq n+1} X_i$ defined by

$$e : (x_0, \dots, x_n, t) \mapsto (x_0, \dots, x_n, \gamma(t))$$

witnesses that $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i$ has a uniform collar in $\prod_{i \leq n+1} X_i$.

The condition (d) trivially follows from the contractibility of the absolute retracts X_i , $i \leq n$.

The final conditions (e), (f) are included into the hypothesis (3). $\square$

Applying this theorem to infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, we get

Corollary 8.2. *Let κ be an infinite cardinal. The small box-product $\square_{i \in \omega} X_i$ of pointed spaces is homeomorphic to the LF-space $l_2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ if*

- (1) *some space X_i is homeomorphic to $l_2(\kappa)$,*
- (2) *infinitely many spaces X_i contain more than one point, and*
- (3) *each space X_i is a completely-metrizable absolute retract of density $\leq \kappa$.*

Proof. Consider the model space $\Omega = l_2(\kappa)$ and observe that the class $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ coincides with the class $\mathcal{C}_{\leq \kappa}$ of all completely-metrizable spaces of density $\leq \kappa$.

Assume that a sequence of pointed spaces X_i , $i \in \omega$, satisfies the conditions (1)–(3). Then some space X_n , say X_0 , is homeomorphic to $l_2(\kappa)$ and all other spaces X_i are absolute retracts from the class $\mathcal{C}_{\leq \kappa}$. Since X_0 is homeomorphic to $l_2(\kappa)$, we can apply the ANR-Theorem for Hilbert manifolds [21] and conclude that each product $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i$ is homeomorphic to $l_2(\kappa)$. Now Theorem 1.1 ensures that for every $n > 0$ the pair $(\prod_{i \leq n} X_i, \prod_{i < n} X_i)$ is strongly $\mathcal{C}_{\leq \kappa}$ -universal.

Applying Theorem 8.1, we conclude that the small box-product $\square_{i \in \omega} X_i$ is homeomorphic to $l_2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$. $\square$

9. DIRECT LIMITS OF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

In this section we discuss the interplay between various direct limit topologies on the union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} G_n$ of a closed tower

$$G_0 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots$$

of topological groups. The group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} G_n$ carries at least 6 natural direct limit topologies. The strongest one is the topology of the direct limit $\text{t-}\varinjlim G_n$ of the tower $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in the category of topological spaces. By definition, $\text{t-}\varinjlim G_n$ is the group $G = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} G_n$ endowed with the strongest topology making the identity inclusions $G_n \rightarrow G$ continuous.

On the other extreme there is the topology of the direct limit $\text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ of the tower $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in the category of topological groups. This topology is the strongest topology turning G into a topological group and making the identity inclusions $G_n \rightarrow G$ continuous.

Between the direct limits $\text{t-}\varinjlim G_n$ and $\text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ there are four uniform direct limits of the topological groups G_n endowed with one of four canonical uniformities: $\mathcal{U}^L$, $\mathcal{U}^R$, $\mathcal{U}^{LR}$, or $\mathcal{U}^{RL}$.

Here for a topological group H

- $\mathcal{U}^L$ is the *left uniformity* generated by the entourages $U^L = \{(x, y) \in H^2 : x \in yU\}$,
- $\mathcal{U}^R$ is the *right uniformity* generated by the entourages $U^R = \{(x, y) \in H^2 : x \in Uy\}$,
- $\mathcal{U}^{LR}$ is the *two-sided uniformity* generated by the entourages $U^{LR} = \{(x, y) \in H^2 : x \in yU \cap Uy\}$, and
- $\mathcal{U}^{RL}$ is the *Roelcke uniformity* generated by the entourages $U^{RL} = \{(x, y) \in H^2 : x \in UyU\}$

where $U = U^{-1}$ runs over open symmetric neighborhoods of the neutral element 1 of H .

The group H endowed with one of the uniformities $\mathcal{U}^L$, $\mathcal{U}^R$, $\mathcal{U}^{LR}$, $\mathcal{U}^{RL}$ is denoted by H^L , H^R , H^{LR} , H^{RL} , respectively. These four uniformities on H coincide if and only if H is a **SIN-group**, which means that H has a neighborhood base at e consisting of open sets $U \subset H$ that are *invariant* in the sense that $U^H = U$ where $U^H = \{huh^{-1} : h \in H, u \in U\}$. Observe that a topological group G is a **SIN-group** if and only if for each neighborhood $U \subset G$ of the neutral element e its G -root $\sqrt[G]{U} = \{x \in G : x^G \subset U\}$ is a neighborhood of e in G .

For a tower

$$G_0 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots$$

of topological groups let $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^L$, $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^R$, $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{LR}$, $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{RL}$ be the uniform direct limits of the towers of uniform spaces $(G_n^L)_{n \in \omega}$, $(G_n^R)_{n \in \omega}$, $(G_n^{LR})_{n \in \omega}$, $(G_n^{RL})_{n \in \omega}$, respectively.

For these uniform direct limits we get the following diagram in which an arrow indicates that the corresponding identity map is continuous:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^L & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ \text{t-}\varinjlim G_n & \longrightarrow & \text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{LR} & & \text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{RL} \longrightarrow \text{g-}\varinjlim G_n \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^R & & \end{array}$$

The following theorem proved in [4] and [7] shows that the identity map $\text{t-}\varinjlim G_n \rightarrow \text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ rarely is a homeomorphism.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a closed tower of topological groups.*

- (1) *If each group G_n , $n \in \omega$, is locally compact, then the identity map $\text{t-}\varinjlim G_n \rightarrow \text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ is a homeomorphism;*
- (2) *If the groups G_n , $n \in \omega$, are metrizable, then the identity map $\text{t-}\varinjlim G_n \rightarrow \text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ is a homeomorphism if and only if each group G_n is locally compact or there is $n \in \omega$ such that for every $m \geq n$ the group G_m is open in G_{m+1} .*

The following result proved in [5] indicates the cases when the identity map $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{LR} \rightarrow \text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ is a homeomorphism.

Theorem 9.2. *For a closed tower $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of topological groups the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *the identity map $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{LR} \rightarrow \text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ is a homeomorphism;*
- (2) *the identity map $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^L \rightarrow \text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ is a homeomorphism;*
- (3) *the identity map $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^R \rightarrow \text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$ is a homeomorphism.*

These equivalent conditions hold if the tower (G_n) satisfies one of the following conditions:

SIN: *Every topological group G_n is a SIN-group.*

PTA: *Every group G_n has a neighborhood base $\mathcal{B}_n$ at the identity e , consisting of open symmetric neighborhoods $U \subset G_n$ such that for every $m \geq n$ and every neighborhood $V \subset G_m$ of e there is a neighborhood $W \subset G_m$ of e such that $WU \subset UV$.*

3-SIN: *For every $n \in \omega$ and any neighborhoods $V \subset G_{n+1}$ and $U \subset G_{n+2}$ of the neutral element e the product $V \cdot {}^G\sqrt{U}$ is a neighborhood of e in the group G_{n+2} . Here ${}^G\sqrt{U} = \{x \in G : x^{G_n} \subset U\}$ and $x^{G_n} = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G_n\}$.*

10. TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS HOMEOMORPHIC TO SPACES $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$

In this section we shall detect direct limits of topological groups, which are homeomorphic to LF-spaces or spaces of the form $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$. We shall do that for uniform direct limits of the form $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^L$ where $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is a tower of topological groups. Theorem 9.2 gives conditions under which the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^L$ is homeomorphic to $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^R$, $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{LR}$ or $\text{g-}\varinjlim G_n$.

Theorem 10.1. *For a tower $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of topological groups the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^L$ is homeomorphic to an LF-space if for every $n \in \omega$*

- (1) *the uniform space G_n^L a uniform neighborhood retract in G_{n+1}^L ;*
- (2) *the topological space G_n is contractible in G_{n+1} ;*
- (3) *the topological space G_n a Hilbert manifold.*

Proof. By (3), each group G_i is an $l_2(\kappa_i)$ -manifold for some cardinal κ_i . Let $\kappa = \sup_{i \in \omega} \kappa_i$ and consider three cases.

Case 1. The cardinal κ is finite. Then there is $m \in \omega$ such that $\kappa_i = \kappa$ for all $i \geq m$. For every $i \geq m$, the groups $G_i \subset G_{i+1}$ are Hilbert manifolds of the same finite dimension. Consequently, G_i is a closed-and-open subgroup of G_{i+1} . Being closed-and-open and contractible in G_{n+1} , the space G_n is contractible. The same is true for the space G_{i+1} . Then the group G_i , being a closed-and-open subset in the connected space G_{i+1} , coincides with G_{i+1} . It follows from the structure theorem of Iwasawa [14] that the group G_i , being a contractible $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$ -manifold, is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$. Since $G_i = G_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq m$, we see that $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^L = G_m^L$ is homeomorphic to the LF-space $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$.

Case 2. The cardinal κ is infinite but there is $m \in \omega$ such that for every $n \in \omega$ the group G_n is open in G_{n+1} . Repeating the argument from the preceding case, we can show that $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n = G_m$, being a contractible $l_2(\kappa)$ -manifold, is homeomorphic to the Hilbert space $l_2(\kappa)$ according to the Classification Theorem for Hilbert manifolds, see [8, IX.7.3].

Case 3. For infinitely many numbers n the group G_n is not open in G_{n+1} . Passing to a suitable subsequence $(G_{n_k})_{k \in \omega}$, we may assume that each group G_n is not open in G_{n+1} . Then also each group G_n is nowhere dense in G_{n+1} . In this case we can apply Theorem 6.1 and show that the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^L$ is homeomorphic to a non-metrizable LF-space. The conditions (2), (4), and (5) of that theorem hold according to our hypothesis. The remaining conditions (1) and (3) are established in the following two lemmas. $\square$

Lemma 10.2. *If H is a closed nowhere dense subgroup of a locally path-connected topological group G , then H^L has a uniform collar in the uniform space G^L .*

Proof. Since H is nowhere dense in the locally path-connected group G there is a continuous map $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ such that $\gamma(0) = e$ and $\gamma(1) \notin H$. We may additionally assume that $\gamma^{-1}(H) = \{0\}$. In the opposite case take the real number $b = \max \gamma^{-1}(H) \in [0, 1)$ and consider the map

$$\gamma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow G, \quad \gamma' : t \mapsto \gamma(b)^{-1} \cdot \gamma(b + (1 - b)t) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

The map γ' will have the required property: $\gamma'(0) = \gamma(b)^{-1} \cdot \gamma(b)$ and $\gamma'(t) \notin H$ for $t > 0$.

It is easy to check that the map

$$\alpha : H^L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow G^L, \quad \alpha : (h, t) \mapsto h \cdot \gamma(t),$$

determines a uniform collar of H^L in G^L . $\square$

Lemma 10.3. *If a topological group G is locally contractible, then the uniform space G^L is uniformly locally equiconnected.*

Proof. Since G is locally contractible, there is a neighborhood $V = V^{-1}$ of the neutral element $e \in G$ and a continuous map $\gamma : V \times [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ such that $\gamma(x, 0) = x$ and $\gamma(x, 1) = e$ for all $x \in V$. Replacing the map γ by the map

$$\gamma' : V \times [0, 1] \rightarrow G, \quad \gamma' : (x, t) \mapsto \gamma(e, t)^{-1} \cdot \gamma(x, t),$$

we may additionally assume that $\gamma(e, t) = e$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

The neighborhood V determines an entourage $U = \{(x, y) \in G^2 : x \in yV\}$ that belongs to the left uniformity on G . Then the function

$$\lambda : U \times [0, 1] \rightarrow G, \quad \lambda : (x, y, t) \mapsto y \cdot \gamma(y^{-1}x, t),$$

witnesses that the group G endowed with the left uniformity is uniformly locally equiconnected. $\square$

According to [11], each Polish ANR-group is a Hilbert manifold. This fact combined with Theorem 10.1 implies:

Corollary 10.4. *For a tower $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of Polish ANR-groups the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{\text{L}}$ is homeomorphic to a separable LF-space if each uniform space G_n^{L} is a uniform neighborhood retract in G_{n+1}^{L} and G_n is contractible in G_{n+1} .*

Combining Theorem 7.1 with Lemmas 10.2 and 10.3 we obtain

Corollary 10.5. *For a closed tower (G_n) of topological groups the uniform direct limit $\text{u-}\varinjlim G_n^{\text{LR}}$ is homeomorphic to the product $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ for some model space Ω if for every $n \in \omega$*

- (1) *the uniform space G_n^{L} is a uniform neighborhood retract in G_{n+1}^{L} ,*
- (2) *the space G_n is nowhere dense, and contractible in G_{n+1} ,*
- (3) *the space G_n is an ANR, and*
- (4) *the pair (G_{n+1}, G_n) is an $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ -universal pair.*

If the class $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ is sufficiently rich, then we can prove a much stronger result.

Definition 10.6. A class $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ of pairs of topological spaces is called:

- *compact* if for each pair $(K, C) \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}$, the space K is compact and metrizable, and $C \subset K$;
- *2^ω -stable* if for any pair $(K, C) \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}$ the pair $(K \times 2^\omega, C \times 2^\omega)$ belongs to $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$;
- *quotient-stable* if for any pair $(K, C) \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}$ and a closed subset $B \subset K$ the pair $(K/B, C \setminus B)$ belongs to $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$;
- *F_σ -additive* if for every pair $(K, C) \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}$ and every F_σ -set $F \subset K$ the pair $(K, C \cup F)$ belongs to $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$;
- *G_δ -multiplicative* if for every pair $(K, C) \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}$ and every G_δ -subset $G \subset K$ the pair $(K, C \cap G)$ belongs to $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$.

Classes of pairs having these five properties will be called *rich*.

A class $\mathcal{C}$ of spaces is defined to be *rich* if there is a rich class $\vec{\mathcal{C}}$ of pairs such that $\mathcal{C} = \{C : (K, C) \in \vec{\mathcal{C}}\}$.

It should be noted that many classes considered in the Descriptive Set Theory are rich. In particular, all the projective classes Π_n^1 , Σ_n^1 , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and all the Borel classes Π_α^0 , Σ_α^0 , $\alpha \geq 2$, are rich.

We recall that a topological space X is $\mathcal{C}$ -universal for a class $\mathcal{C}$ of topological spaces if each space $C \in \mathcal{C}$ admits a closed topological embedding into X .

The following non-trivial fact was implicitly proved in Theorem 4.2.3 of [3].

Theorem 10.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a rich class of spaces. An infinite-dimensional separable ANR-group G is $\mathcal{C}$ -universal if and only if for each closed subset F the pair (G, F) is strongly $\mathcal{C}$ -universal.*

Combining this theorem with Theorem 10.5 we obtain

Corollary 10.8. *Let Ω be a model space such that the class $\mathcal{F}_0(\Omega)$ is rich. For a closed tower $(G_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of separable ANR-groups the uniform direct limit $\varinjlim G_n^L$ is homeomorphic to $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ if the group G_0 contains a closed topological copy of the space Ω , each group G_n contractible in G_{n+1} and each uniform space G_n^L is a uniform neighborhood retract in G_{n+1}^L .*

Finally, let us discuss the problem of detecting subgroups which are uniform neighborhood retracts in ambient groups (endowed with their left uniformity).

For a closed subgroup H of a topological group let $G/H = \{Hx : x \in G\}$ denote the quotient space endowed with the quotient topology. The subgroup H is called *(locally) topologically complemented in G* if the quotient map $q : G \rightarrow G/H = \{Hx : x \in G\}$ is a (locally) trivial bundle. This happens if and only if the quotient map $q : G \rightarrow G/H$ has a (local) section.

Proposition 10.9. *If H is a locally topologically complemented subgroup of a topological group G , then the uniform space H^L is a uniform neighborhood retract in G^L .*

Proof. By our hypothesis, the quotient map $q : G \rightarrow G/H$ is a locally trivial bundle and as such, has a local continuous section $s : U \rightarrow G$ defined on an open neighborhood $U \subset G/H$ of the distinguished element $\bar{e} = He \in G/H$ (here e stands for the neutral element of H).

Replacing the section s by the section

$$s' : U \rightarrow G, \quad s' : y \mapsto s(\bar{e})^{-1} \cdot s(y),$$

we can additionally assume that $s(\bar{e}) = e$. Now it is easy to check that the formula

$$r(x) = x \cdot (s \circ q(x))^{-1}, \quad x \in q^{-1}(U),$$

determines a uniform retraction of the uniform neighborhood $q^{-1}(U) \subset G$ of H onto H , witnessing that H^L is a uniform neighborhood retract in G^L . $\square$

The other obvious condition, which implies that a closed subgroup $H \subset G$ is a uniform neighborhood retract in G^L is that H^L is a uniform absolute neighborhood retract.

Following [17], we define a metric space X to be a *uniform absolute (neighborhood) retract* if X is a uniform (neighborhood) retract in each metric space M that contains X as a closed isometrically embedded subspace. Modifying the proof of the Dugundji Extension Theorem [12], E. Michael [17] proved that each convex subset of a locally convex linear metric space is a uniform absolute retract. By [21] and [17] each absolute (neighborhood) retract is homeomorphic to a uniform absolute (neighborhood) retract.

By Birkhoff-Kakutani Metrization Theorem [20], the left uniformity of any first countable topological group G is generated by some left-invariant metric. So we can think of topological groups as metric spaces endowing them with a left-invariant metric.

Problem 10.10. Detect topological groups that are uniform absolute neighborhood retracts.

This problem is not trivial because of the following

Example 10.11. There is a linear metric space L which is an AR but not a uniform AR.

Proof. According to a famous result of R. Cauty [10], there exists a σ -compact linear metric space E , which is not an absolute retract. Let $D \subset E$ be a countable dense subset and L be its linear hull in E . The space L is an AR, being a countable union of finite-dimensional compacta, see [15].

By [17, 1.4] a metric space is a uniform AR if it contains a dense subspace that is a uniform AR. Since E fails to be a (uniform) AR, its dense AR-subspace L fails to be a uniform AR. $\square$

11. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their thanks to Katsuro Sakai for careful reading the preliminary version of the manuscript and many useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.Banakh, *On topological groups containing a Frechet-Urysohn fan*, Mat. Stud. **9**:2 (1998), 149–154.
- [2] T.Banakh, K.Mine, K.Sakai, T.Yagasaki, *Topological groups locally homeomorphic to LF-spaces*, preprint.
- [3] T.Banakh, T.Radul, M.Zarichnyi, *Absorbing sets in infinite-dimensional manifolds*, VNTL Publishers, Lviv, 1996. 240p.
- [4] T.Banakh and D.Repoš, *The topological structure of direct limits in the category of uniform spaces*, preprint (arXiv:0908.2228).
- [5] T.Banakh and D.Repoš, *Direct limit topologies in the categories of topological groups and of uniform spaces*, preprint (arXiv:0911.3985).
- [6] T.Banakh and D.Repoš, *A topological characterization of open subspaces of LF-spaces*, in preparation.
- [7] T.Banakh, L.Zdomskyy, *The topological structure of (homogeneous) spaces and groups with countable cs^* -network*, Appl. Gen. Top. **5**:1 (2004), 25–48.
- [8] C.Bessaga, A.Pełczyński, *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1975.
- [9] M.Bestvina, J.Mogilski, *Characterizing certain incomplete infinite-dimensional absolute retracts*, Michigan Math. J. **33**:3 (1986) 291–313.
- [10] R.Cauty, *Un espace metrique lineaire qui n'est pas un retracts absolu*, Fund. Math. **146**:1 (1994) 85–99.
- [11] T.Dobrowolski, H.Torunczyk, *Separable complete ANRs admitting a group structure are Hilbert manifolds*, Topology Appl. **12**:3 (1981) 229–235.
- [12] J.Dugundji, *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951) 353–367.
- [13] R.Engelking, *General topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [14] K.Iwasawa, *On some types of topological groups*, Ann. Math. (2) **50** (1949) 507–558.
- [15] W.Haver, *Locally contractible spaces that are absolute neighborhood retracts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1973) 280–284.
- [16] P.Mankiewicz, *On topological, Lipschitz, and uniform classification of LF-spaces*, Studia Math. **52** (1974) 109–142.
- [17] E.Michael, *Uniform ARs and ANRs*, Compositio Math. **39**:2 (1979) 129–139.
- [18] E.Pentsak, *On manifolds modeled on direct limits of $\mathcal{C}$ -universal ANR's*, Mat. Stud. **5** (1995) 107–116.
- [19] K.Sakai, *On R^∞ -manifolds and Q^∞ -manifolds*, Topology Appl. **18**:1 (1984) 69–79.
- [20] M.Tkačenko, *Introduction to topological groups*, Topology Appl. **86**:3 (1998), 179–231.
- [21] H.Torunczyk, *Absolute retracts as factors of normed linear spaces*, Fund. Math. **86** (1974) 53–67.
- [22] H.Torunczyk, *Characterizing Hilbert space topology*, Fund. Math. **111**:3 (1981) 247–262.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, AND
 INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIWERSYTET HUMANISTYCZNO-PRZYRODNICZY JANA KOCHANOWSKIEGO W KIEL-
 CACH, POLAND

E-mail address: T.O.Banakh@gmail.com

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, AND FACULTY OF EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA,
 P. O. BOX 2964, LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA 1001

E-mail address: dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si